

A METHOD OF GENERATING SUMS OF LIKE POWERS

Žarko Mijajlović¹, Miloš Milošević², Aleksandar Perović²

1. Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro, zarkom@eunet.yu
2. Mathematical Institute SANU, Kneza Mihaila 35, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro, mionamil@eunet.yu, peramail314@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this article a new method of generating sums of like powers is presented.

We use symbols $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}^+$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+$ to represent the sets of integers, nonnegative integers, positive integers, real numbers and positive real numbers, respectively. In addition, we also adopt the convention that $0^0 = 1$.

Finite disjoint subsets U and V of $\mathbb{Z}$ will be called a Prouhet-Tarry-Escott pair for the given integer $n > 1$ if they have the same cardinality and

$$\sum_{u \in U} u^s = \sum_{v \in V} v^s, \quad s = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{u \in U} u^n \neq \sum_{v \in V} v^n.$$

The notion of a P -sequence is recursively defined as follows:

- $\langle 1, -1 \rangle$ is a P -sequence;
- If $\langle a_0, \dots, a_k \rangle$ is a P -sequence and $a_0 = -a_k$, then

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_k, a_k, \dots, a_0 \rangle$$

is also a P -sequence;

- If $\langle a_0, \dots, a_k \rangle$ is a P -sequence and $a_0 = a_k$, then

$$\langle a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, 0, -a_{k-1}, \dots, -a_0 \rangle$$

is also a P -sequence;

- Each P -sequence can be obtained only by finite use of the above clauses.

We denote the n -th P -sequence by P_n (assuming that they are ordered by their increasing lengths). For instance,

$$P_1 = \langle 1, -1 \rangle, \quad P_2 = \langle 1, -1, -1, 1 \rangle, \quad P_3 = \langle 1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, -1 \rangle \text{ etc.}$$

For an arbitrary positive integer n , n -th P -sequence $P_n = \langle a_0, \dots, a_k \rangle$ and any integer $s \geq 0$ let us define a polynomial function $F_{n,s}(x)$ by

$$F_{n,s}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (i+x)^s.$$

Lemma 1 $F_{n,s} \equiv 0$ for $s = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Proof. Since

$$F_{n,s}(x) = ((n-1) \cdots (n-1-s))^{-1} F_{n,n-1}^{(n-1-s)}(x)$$

(here $F_{n,n-1}^{(n-1-s)}$ is the $(n-1-s)$ -th derivative of $F_{n,n-1}$), it is sufficient to prove that

$$F_{n,n-1} \equiv 0. \quad (1)$$

We use induction on n . Trivially (1) is true for $n = 1$, so let us assume that for some $n \geq 1$ equality (1) holds. We have the following two cases:

- $n = 2m$. Assuming that $P_{2m} = \langle a_0, \dots, a_k \rangle$, we have that

$$P_{2m+1} = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, 0, -a_{k-1}, \dots, -a_0 \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2m+1,2m}(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i (i+x)^{2m} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i (2k-i+x)^{2m} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (i+x)^{2m} - \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (i-2k-x)^{2m} \\ &= F_{2m,2m}(x) - F_{2m,2m}(-2k-x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F'_{2m+1,2m}(x) &= 2m \underbrace{F_{2m,2m-1}(x)}_{=0} - 2m \underbrace{F_{2m,2m-1}(-2k-x)}_{=0} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $F_{2m+1,2m}$ is a constant function. Since $F_{2m+1,2m}(-k) = 0$, we conclude that $F_{2m+1,2m} \equiv 0$.

- $n = 2m+1$. Similarly to the previous case one can easily check that $F_{2m+2,2m+1}$ is a constant function. Since $F_{2m+2,2m+1}(-\frac{2k+1}{2}) = 0$, we conclude that $F_{2m+2,2m+1} \equiv 0$ as well. $\square$

Theorem 1 For $s \geq n$ the degree of $F_{n,s}$ is equal to $s - n$.

Proof. Clearly, it is sufficient to prove that

$$F_{n,n} \equiv \text{const.} \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

Observe that an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 is the fact that each $F_{n,n}$ is a constant function.

We use by induction on n . $F_{1,1} \equiv -1$, so let us assume that for some $n \geq 1$ relation (2) holds.

- $n = 2m$. Assuming that $P_{2m} = \langle a_0, \dots, a_k \rangle$, we have that

$$P_{2m+1} = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, 0, -a_{k-1}, \dots, -a_0 \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2m+1,2m+1}(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i(i+x)^{2m+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i(2k-i+x)^{2m+1} \\ &= F_{2m,2m+1}(x) + F_{2m,2m+1}(-2k-x). \end{aligned}$$

$F_{2m+1,2m+1}$ is a constant function, so

$$F_{2m+1,2m+1}(x) = F_{2m+1,2m+1}(-k) = 2F_{2m,2m+1}(-k).$$

Since $a_i = a_{k-i}$, we have that

$$F_{2m,2m+1}(-k) = -F_{2m,2m+1}(0).$$

By induction hypothesis $F_{2m,2m+1}$ is a linear function (thus 1-1), so $F_{2m,2m+1}(-k) \neq 0$.

- $n = 2m + 1$. Similarly to the previous case one can easily deduce that

$$F_{2m+2,2m+2}(x) = 2F_{2m+1,2m+2}(-(2k+1)/2)$$

and

$$F_{2m+1,2m+2}(-(2k+1)/2) = -F_{2m+1,2m+2}(1/2),$$

which combined with the induction hypothesis implies that

$$F_{2m+1,2m+2}(-(2k+1)/2) \neq 0.$$

□

Corollary 1 *Let $P_n = \langle a_0, \dots, a_k \rangle$ be a P -sequence. Then:*

- $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i i^s = 0$, $s = 0, \dots, n-1$;
- $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i i^n \neq 0$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k i^s = F_{n,s}(0).$$

□

Now let us describe how can one use the P -sequences in order to generate the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott pairs:

- (A) Let $n \geq 2$ be an arbitrary integer and let $P_n = \langle a_0, \dots, a_k \rangle$ be the n -th P -sequence. By Lemma 1 we have that

$$\sum_{i=0}^k a_i (pi + l)^s = 0, \quad s = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

(observe that $\sum_{i=0}^k a_i (pi + l)^s = p^s F_{n,s}(l/p)$). Since each P -sequence has the same number of 1s and -1 s, we have that sets $X_{p,l}$ and $Y_{p,l}$ defined by

$$X_{p,l} = \{pi + l \mid 0 \leq i \leq k \wedge a_i = -1\} \text{ and } Y_{p,l} = \{pi + l \mid 0 \leq i \leq k \wedge a_i = 1\}$$

have the same (finite) cardinality and

$$\sum_{x \in X_{p,l}} x^s = \sum_{y \in Y_{p,l}} y^s, \quad s = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

which at once yields a solution of the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem.

- (B) For the n -th P -sequence $P_n = \langle a_0, \dots, a_k \rangle$ let

$$X_n = \{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid i \leq k \wedge a_i = -1\} \text{ and } Y_n = \{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid i \leq k \wedge a_i = 1\}.$$

Clearly, X_n and Y_n are disjoint and $|X_n| = |Y_n| + 1$. Furthermore, using the definition of the notion of a P -sequence one can easily check that

$$X_{2n+1} \subset X_{2n+2} \text{ and } Y_{2n+1} \subset Y_{2n+2},$$

and the sets $U = X_{2n+2} \setminus X_{2n+1}$ and $V = Y_{2n+2} \setminus Y_{2n+1}$ are disjoint and have the same cardinality. Bearing in mind the Corollary 1, we see that for each $s \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$

$$\sum_{i \in U} i^s = \sum_{i \in X_{2n+2}} i^s - \sum_{i \in X_{2n+1}} i^s = \sum_{i \in Y_{2n+2}} i^s - \sum_{i \in Y_{2n+1}} i^s = \sum_{i \in V} i^s.$$

For instance, if $n = 4$, then $X_4 = \{1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12\}$, $Y_4 = \{4, 5, 8, 9, 13\}$, $U = \{7, 11, 12\}$, $V = \{8, 9, 13\}$ and

$$7^s + 11^s + 12^s = 8^s + 9^s + 13^s, \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Observe that (A) and (B) are not equivalent (i.e. they produce different sets of Prouhet-Tarry-Escott pairs).

References

- [1] P. Borwein, C. Ingalls, The Prouhet-Tarry-Escott Problem Revisited, *Enseign. Math.* 40(1994), 3-27

- [2] P. Borwein, P. Lisonek, C. Percival, Computational Investigations of the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott Problem, *Math. Comp.*, vol.72, 244(2002), 2063-2070
- [3] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Carnegie Institute, Washington, 1920, Vol II, Ch. 24
- [4] A. Gloden, *Mehrgradige Gleichungen*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1944, 103pp.
- [5] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, Sections A8 (*Gaps between primes. Twin primes*) and D1 (*Sums of like powers. Euler's conjecture*), second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994
- [6] A. Ivić, *An introduction to analytic number theory*, Ed. Zorana Stojanovića, Novi Sad, 1996, 389pp.
- [7] W. Narkiewicz, *Classical problems in number theory*, PWN, Warszawa, 1986, 363pp.